47

IN THE MADHYA PRADESH ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, JABALPUR

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1166 /97.

20/01

APPLICANT: Shankar Lal Dewangan,
aged about 57 years
S/ϕ Tulsi Ram Dewangan
A.D.I.S., Dongargaon, Residence of
Dongargaon, Distt. Rajnandgaon (M.P.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS: ~~(1) State School Education~~
(1) State of M.P. School Education
Department
Through- Secretary Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal.

(2) Commissioner.
Public Education M.P. Bhopal.

(3) Joint Director,
Public Education, Education Division,
Durg. M.P.

(4) Directret of Public Instruction,
Bhopal.

(5) State of C.G. Through Secretary, School Education Dept. D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

Dewangan

Attested
REGISTRAR

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1985.

DETAILS OF THE APPLICATION :-

(1) Particula...

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP(S) 2354/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

19-1-2006

Shri Rakesh Anthoni, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri S.R. Rajput, for the respondents.

Heard.

This petition was filed before the Tribunal on 9-5-1997 on the ground that the petitioner has not been given Time Bound Promotion. It is submitted that the petitioner was appointed in the year 1962 as Assistant Master. He further submits that after filing this petition, 8 years have passed. He further submits that in similar matter i.e. W.P. No.6504/2005, an order has been passed by this Court on 4-1-2006. He prays for similar order.

State counsel does not dispute the above fact.

Having considered the facts and circumstances and in view of the fact that the petitioner has not been given the benefit of Time Bound Promotion Scheme and further in view of order passed in similar case i.e. W.P.No.6504/2005, it is directed that the concerned authority to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in accordance with law for grant of time bound promotion as early as possible expeditiously. In case of refusal, the reasons be communicated to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed.

Certified copy as per rules.

Copy to State counsel for compliance.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Pathak

[पीछे देखिये